

TOWN COUNCIL MEETING: OCTOBER 12, 2021

PUBLIC COMMENT

First Name	Last Name	Street Address	Comments: Per Council rules and procedures, please limit comments to what can reasonably be read to the meeting record in three (3) minutes or less. Please be mindful that this form is for public comment only. Please direct questions/general inquiries to Council members directly.
Roger	Emerick	580 Hopewell Road	The Citizen article said you wanted public input regarding creating creation of a Village District. The character of Glastonbury is being (has been) destroyed by endless population increase and development. The 'Village District' should encompass the entire town, and promote a stable population and economy with a rewritten Town Charter and regulations. The endless increase of development to accommodate population and economic growth is destructive to our future existence, as is the case World wide. Landowners, unable to develop should be granted a tax abatement.
C.	Mozzochi	227 Hebron Avenue	I am submitting the statement below to be read into the record at the October 12th Council meeting. I hope you and your fellow, total-incompetents on the Council consider it very carefully. C.J. Mozzochi, Ph.D. ----- There is no possible way it can be properly construed that the funds being received for COVID-19 relief can be applied to supply water to the homes in the Uranium Belt! If the Council approves such an expenditure and/or approves such an expenditure by any other means, I will assemble a group of like-minded citizens, and we will bring a class action lawsuit against the Town, and we will pursue that suit to the Connecticut Supreme Court, if necessary. Also, Town Manager Johnson resides at 53 Stonepost Road, which is in the very center of the Uranium Belt, so I demand that the Council order him to recuse himself from any and all discussions pertaining to this matter. This statement has been e-mailed to each Council member on October 10th for their review.prior to the meeting.,
Ken	Merrifield	5 WILLOW BROOK DR	I am writing to express my support for additional EV charging stations as mentioned in the paragraph on Green Infrastructure as a possible use case for ARPA funds. My only concern is with the way that the paragraph is written. The paragraph seems to imply that EV charging stations would be "....for Town operations...." With several automotive manufacturers already announcing that they will stop production of gasoline-powered vehicles, some as soon as 2025, electric vehicles are coming quickly, and it is in our best interest to be prepared. I have been driving an electric vehicle for several years now, but do not have a place to charge the battery at home (no garage). As EVs become more widespread, I am fearful that the few public charging stations in town will be less and less available. I am hopeful that any new EV charging stations would be made available for the general public and not limited to "Town operations."