GLASTONBURY TOWN COUNCIL SPECIAL MEETING MINUTES TUESDAY, AUGUST 11, 2020

The Glastonbury Town Council with Town Manager, Richard J. Johnson, in attendance, held a Regular Meeting at 7:00 p.m. via Zoom video conferencing. The video was broadcast in real time and via a live video stream.

1. Roll Call.

Council Members

Mr. Thomas P. Gullotta, Chairman

Mr. Lawrence Niland, Vice Chairman

Dr. Stewart Beckett III

Mr. Kurt P. Cavanaugh

Ms. Mary LaChance

Ms. Deborah A. Carroll

Mr. Jacob McChesney

Mr. Whit C. Osgood

Ms. Lillian Tanski

a. Pledge of Allegiance

Led by Bobby Ashton

2. Public Comment.

Ms. Carroll read the written comment received, as listed on the Town website:

Tamashi Hettiarachchi of 134 Long Hill Drive explained what the Town's civilian police review board should prescribe by ordinance.

Mr. Niland opened the floor for attendees to comment.

Tamashi Hettiarachchi of 134 Long Hill Drive reiterated her written comment and added that if Town Police are doing what they are supposed to be doing, then they should have no problem with this ordinance.

Victoria "Tory" McBrien of 4 MacIntosh Lane expressed support for the Town to establish a civilian police review board. She explained the history of Glastonbury, noting that when they moved to a town council system in 1958, the police commission was scrapped. She added that, today, Glastonbury Police is an autonomously operating police department who reports to no one and is accountable to no one. A government organization with no accountability is fundamentally problematic because it undermines checks and balances. She concluded that a civilian review board will correct an oversight that was removed over 50 years ago.

Katie Furey of 61 Glastonbury Hunt Lane also expressed support for the police civilian review board. She thinks that it is an ethical way to keep all of our citizens safe.

Sabine Gordon of 66 Eastbury Hill Road also advocated for a police civilian review board. She explained that it would hold police accountable and make the town safer.

Annika Hurley of 31 Lindsey Lane also advocated for the police civilian review board to keep the police department accountable and make the town safer.

Maureen Bojko of 50 Crossroads Lane explained that she is against the first proposal for the Sparkle Car Wash extension near the condominiums. She hopes that her concerns will be taken into consideration.

3. Special Reports.

a. Status report on absentee ballot process for August 11, 2020 Primary.

Mr. Johnson introduced Town Clerk Michelle Krampitz. Ms. Krampitz explained that the ballots were supposed to be mailed out from the state on July 1, but that did not start until July 27. However, they have been working diligently to catch up. The governor's executive order allows anything postmarked by today to be counted, as well. Mr. Niland asked what happened with the delay. Ms. Krampitz explained that the delays were across the state. Mr. Niland asked what reassurances we have that this would not happen during the election in November. Ms. Krampitz admitted that that is a real concern, but it is up to the Secretary of State. She is fairly certain, though, that the State will not use the same mail house again.

Mr. Osgood asked if voters could potentially have two ballots, if they had been reissued to them. Ms. Krampitz replied yes, potentially, but that has not happened yet. Even if so, they would only count one of them, as the system does not even allow for more than one inputted option. Ms. LaChance asked how long it is going to take to process the ballot for today's election, and does she anticipate it taking longer with the general election. Ms. Krampitz explained that, so far, out of 4,800 ballots that went out, they have received 3,255. Even if they receive several hundred more in two days, they will be able to process them. For the November election, ballots will become available October 3, which would give them a month to process everything. Ms. LaChance thanked Ms. Krampitz and her staff for the hard work that everyone is doing.

Mr. Gullotta asked what the turnout was at polling stations. Ms. Krampitz stated that it was very light, about 7%. Typically, for a primary, it could've been about 30%. Mr. Gullotta deduced that it appears clear that most people wanted to use the mail-in ballot option. Ms. Krampitz agreed. Mr. McChesney asked how the safety protocols for in-person voting went. Mr. Krampitz replied, excellently, and there were no delays. Ms. LaChance asked if they need any more volunteers to be poll workers for the general election. Ms. Krampitz stated probably, yes.

b. Report by Education staff concerning school childcare program.

Dr. Bookman, Superintendent, explained that the reopening of schools has been a difficult issue. There has been a lack of childcare, with many daycare centers shut down. They need to make sure that there is some kind of day care for our own teachers. Dr. Bookman introduced Matt Dunbar and Cheri Burke, two assistant superintendents, who had the idea to open up daycare centers in town for Glastonbury teachers.

Mr. Dunbar explained that they are embarking on a new childcare program. In the short term, it will help teachers, and in the long term, it will be a boon in recruiting the best teachers and staff. He noted that there aren't many programs like this, and they think this will do very well. They do not see this as a one-year endeavor, though the pandemic has caused them to move forward on it. The program will be self-supporting, at no cost to the taxpayer, and held in Eastbury School, and will follow a school calendar with operating hours conducive to teachers' schedules. Mr. Dunbar believes that they are creating a fee structure that is very fair. The plan is to shortly open it up to the general public, and not just staff.

Ms. Burke explained that, last evening, the BOE voted unanimously to approve the "Early Learning Center at Eastbury" for infants through 4 years old. They will only enroll small class sizes. Daycare providers will wear masks and PPE, but children will not. There will be one infant classroom with a maximum of 8 infants; one toddler classroom with a maximum of 8 toddlers; and one preschool classroom with a maximum of 20 preschoolers. Their daycare hours will run from 6:00 A.M. to 5:00 P.M. She reiterated Mr. Dunbar's statement that this program addresses an immediate problem but is a long-term commitment.

Dr. Bookman noted that they began this process in late July. He thinks that they can put this together to begin the new school year. Other districts have been approaching Glastonbury for information, which is a good sign. By creating this, they are providing a way for teachers to take care of their youngsters and still come to teach. Ms. Tanski asked if the location will be leased or if it is existing space. Dr. Bookman explained that they will move the program into Eastbury School, which is a space that they have leased already. Currently, there are no plans to lease any additional space, so the program would be accommodated with existing lease somewhere within the schools. Ms. Tanski asked how they can track that this program is truly self-sufficient. Dr. Bookman explained that there would be a separate page in the operating budget that would note all of their expenses.

Mr. Osgood asked what kind of reductions there were in daycare facilities in town. Mr. Dunbar explained that a facility in town recently closed and there is a wait list at the YMCA; they spoke with all of them to gather data. Mr. Osgood asked if daycare providers will be classified as teachers. Mr. Dunbar explained that they will fall under classified staff, which is very different from teachers. Mr. Osgood asked if they anticipate staffing for these 36 slots. Ms. Burke explained that they intend to follow all of the licensing requirements. A ratio of 1:4 (teacher to child) will be maintained for infants and toddlers and a ratio of 1:10 for preschoolers. There will also be one coordinator handling the entire program. Mr. Osgood asked about insurance. Dr. Bookman noted that there will be no change in their insurance premiums. Mr. Osgood asked what the tentative budget is. Mr. Dunbar stated that is determined by the amount of enrollment they get. Dr. Bookman added that they are looking at an average cost of \$12,000 for one child for the school year.

Ms. LaChance thanked Dr. Bookman, Mr. Dunbar, and Ms. Burke for creating this program and for accommodating the hours for schoolteachers. Ms. Carroll echoed Ms. LaChance, adding that the pricing is fair and will be a big help for teachers who have been under a lot of stress these past few months. Dr. Beckett stated that this is a really good idea, but it does need to be self-

supporting. Mr. McChesney really appreciates this program, and if it is truly a revenue neutral or even revenue positive program, then he believes that it will be a great benefit for our teachers. He added that the hours are really specialized to teachers, so he does not think that this is going to eat into the normal business hours that other daycares have. Ms. Tanski also thanked school staff for this wonderful program, and also reiterated the importance of this program being self-sustaining.

Mr. Gullotta explained that, for more than 30 years, he ran a children's social services center, which included childcare. He stated that this proposal is very optimistic and needs a lot more research. Staffing will not work, since the program is open for over 8 hours a day, so they will need more than one person. He agreed with Mr. Osgood about the concerns, but the proposed schedule does not work for most working parents. Therefore, their hours should not compete with other daycares, which is good. However, he thinks that they will run into financial issues, particularly with the infants. He noted that the recent recommendation coming out of Beth Bye's office is not a 1:10 ratio of teacher to preschoolers but 1:8. They also need to put together a good list of substitutes to call on.

Mr. Gullotta wishes them well, but he would not be surprised if they lost money. He invited them back in September to discuss their LINKS program. Dr. Bookman thanked Mr. Gullotta for his comments and explained that this is going to be a growing experience for them. They will provide information about the LINKS program and budget to the Town Manager in the next week or so. All of that will come to the Council at their next meeting.

4. Old Business.

a. Discussion and possible action concerning release of recreation easement – refer to Town Plan and Zoning Commission. (Tabled from July 14 and July 28, 2020 meeting).

Motion by: Ms. Carroll Seconded by: Dr. Beckett

BE IT RESOLVED, that the Glastonbury Town Council hereby untables this item from discussion and possible action.

Result: Motion passed unanimously {9-0-0}.

Mr. Johnson recapped that this is a request for the proposed new Sparkle Car Wash. There is a recreation easement that was deeded to the Town. The developer/applicant and Attorney Peter Alter are on the line today. He concluded that the Council could either accept the action today or refer it to the Town Plan and Zoning Commission for further review.

Attorney Alter explained that the activity of the car wash will be reversed. Mr. Osgood expressed a concern with giving up a conservation easement. Mr. Alter clarified that this is not a conservation easement, but a recreation easement. It was not designed to preserve the natural scenic state. The Council really needs to decide if they are willing to consider releasing the easement. If not, then the idea of upgrading the car wash is not possible because the applicant would not be able to undertake the hundreds of thousands of dollars to do this. Mr. Osgood

stated that he would like to have a public hearing at their next meeting with a copy of the revised proposal.

Motion by: Mr. Osgood Seconded by: Ms. Tanski

BE IT RESOLVED, that the Glastonbury Town Council holds a public hearing to discuss and potentially take action on the release of a recreation easement, where the applicant will present a revised copy of their plans.

Disc: Mr. Cavanaugh supports releasing the easement. He noted that this is an older facility, which is an eyesore on Main Street, and it needs upgrading. Mr. Johnson explained that there have been discussions with the condominium association to move the building to the west, with additional trees and buffering in the form of a berm. Mr. Cavanaugh stated that he will vote against this motion because it is within the Council's purview to release the easement but approving the full project plans is TPZ's job. Dr. Beckett agreed, as did Ms. LaChance, who added that she is not inclined to give up that easement. This is how the creep happens. The town is really just losing open space in this proposal, so she is not inclined to support it.

Mr. Niland asked if there are other recreation easements in town. Mr. Johnson explained that a piece of Blackledge Falls might have a recreation easement. Mr. Niland has serious reservations about the precedent but is not opposed to a public hearing and TPZ's discretion. Ms. Carroll also has a concern about the precedent. She is disinclined to send this to TPZ. She is against Mr. Osgood's motion because she does not need more information when she knows that she is going to vote against this. Ms. Tanski expressed strong reservations about releasing the recreation easement. She would like a public hearing to hear how the neighbors feel about it. Mr. McChesney stated that their packets indicated that residents seemed okay with the proposal. However, during the public comment session, someone voiced her opposition to this proposal. Mr. McChesney suggested they look at ways to utilize this space. Mr. Niland stated that if Mr. Osgood's motion is just to hold a public hearing on this, then he would support it.

Result: Motion fails {4-5-0}, with Mr. Gullotta, Ms. LaChance, Mr. McChesney, Ms. LaChance, and Mr. Niland voting against.

Motion by: Dr. Beckett Seconded by: Ms. Carroll

BE IT RESOLVED, that the Glastonbury Town Council hereby refers proposed release of the Recreation Easement located adjacent to the Town-owned open space, Sparkle Car Wash and Salmon Brook to the Town Plan and Zoning Commission for a report and recommendation per CGS Sec. 8-24, as described in a report by the Town Manager dated August 7, 2020.

Disc: Mr. Cavanaugh asked, with a Section 8-24 from the Council, would the applicant have to go to TPZ with a full-blown plan or just a concept. Mr. Alter explained that they would present the plan that was presented to the Council but with revisions to address the issues of the condominium association. However, he noted that five members of the Council have said that they would vote against this motion. Ms. Tanski clarified that she has not decided how she will vote, but she needs to see more information, in order to feel comfortable proceeding. Dr. Beckett

stated that the TPZ does engender some discussion from the condominium association. Therefore, they would acquire the information that Ms. Tanski and Mr. Osgood are looking for.

Result: Motion passes {5-4-0} with Mr. Gullotta, Ms. LaChance, Mr. McChesney, and Ms. LaChance voting against.

b. Continued discussion and possible action concerning Bulky Waste Closure Fund (Tabled from July 28, 2020 meeting).

Motion by: Ms. Carroll

Seconded by: Dr. Beckett

BE IT RESOLVED, that the Glastonbury Town Council hereby untables this item from discussion and possible action.

Result: Motion passed unanimously {9-0-0}.

Mr. Johnson explained that there was agreement from the Council to pursue Scenario 4, with regard to the Bulky Waste Closure Fund, but the Council needs to decide on the effective date: either October or next July. Mr. Osgood supports the October 1 start date. This is basically a fee for use. He sees no reason to put it off.

Motion by: Mr. Osgood

Seconded by: Ms. Tanski

BE IT RESOLVED, that the Glastonbury Town Council hereby approves the following:

- Establish Closure Fund effective FY2021 (current year)
- Effective October 1, 2020 bifurcated per ton rate per Scenario 4 (\$72/\$107) (assumes \$35 to Closure Fund and \$72 to General Fund)

as described in a report by the Town Manager dated August 7, 2020.

Disc: Dr. Beckett encourages the Council to start in October. Putting it off by 9 months will make the deficit bigger. Mr. Gullotta stated that this can wait until July.

Result: Motion fails {4-5-0}, with Mr. Gullotta, Ms. Carroll, Ms. LaChance, Mr. Niland, and Mr. McChesney voting against.

Motion by: Ms. Carroll

Seconded by: Ms. LaChance

BE IT RESOLVED, that the Glastonbury Town Council hereby approves the following:

- Establish Closure Fund effective FY2021 (current year)
- Effective July 1, 2021 bifurcated per ton rate per Scenario 4 (\$72/\$107)

as described in a report by the Town Manager dated August 7, 2020.

Disc: Mr. Osgood sees a trend of kicking the can down the road in many cases, such as the pension. He is going to vote no, just to make that political statement. Ms. Tanski agreed with Mr. Osgood, adding that there is no good reason to push this out another 9 months. Ms. LaChance does not appreciate the consideration that they kicked the can with the pension when they upped the contribution and are living in an unprecedented time. She noted that the delay is just 9 months, which is a small price to pay, considering the pain most of their residents are in right now financially. Mr. Niland agreed, adding that some of the years in the model project them to be overfunding.

Ms. Tanski stated that her understanding is that these rates largely apply to modeling demolition. They are not being all that genuine to state that these are numbers that will impact people worried about feeding families. These are rolled into those people who are fortunate enough to be engaging in these types of projects. Mr. McChesney also felt it inappropriate to refer to kicking the can down the road with regard to the pension. He also rejected Ms. Tanski's notion that this does not apply to residents as a whole, noting that they have residents who do this for a living, and residents who do their own renovations. So, it is unfair to say that it is only for people who can afford it.

Result: Motion passes {5-4-0}, with Dr. Beckett, Ms. Osgood, Mr. Cavanaugh, and Ms. Tanski voting against.

Motion by: Ms. Carroll Seconded by: Dr. Beckett

BE IT RESOLVED, that the Glastonbury Town Council hereby approves the following:

- Deposit revenue from sale of fill and \$50,000 capital appropriation in current fiscal year
- Refers to the Board of Finance the request for a \$100,000 appropriation and transfer from the General Fund-Unassigned Fund Balance to the Closure Fund for the Bulky Waste Landfill and schedules a public hearing for 8:00 p.m. on Tuesday, September 22, 2020 through Zoom Video Conferencing to consider the proposed appropriation and transfer on from sale of fill in FY 2020 to start Closure Fund

as described in a report by the Town Manager dated August 7, 2020.

Result: Motion passed unanimously {9-0-0}.

c. Action to extend Purchase and Sale Agreement for proposed sale of Townowned land – Western Boulevard (Continued from July 28, 2020 meeting).

Mr. Johnson explained that Mr. Sessions has shown good faith in allocating money for site plan approval, and he would like to move forward for the additional four months. Mr. Johnson suggests favorable action from the Council this evening.

Motion by: Ms. Carroll Seconded by: Dr. Beckett

BE IT RESOLVED, that the Glastonbury Town Council hereby approves amendment to the Purchase and Sale Agreement dated September 11, 2019 between the Town of Glastonbury and David Sessions to extend the permit contingency date, marketing contingency and closing through March 31, 2021 and further require a \$25,000 non-refundable deposit to extend the marketing/closing period from April 1, 2021 through July 31, 2021, as described in a report by the Town Manager dated August 7, 2020.

Result: Motion passed unanimously {9-0-0}.

5. New Business.

a. Discussion and possible action concerning discussions with the Housing Authority on rental options for the Town-owned property at 2157 Main Street.

Motion by: Ms. Carroll Seconded by: Dr. Beckett

BE IT RESOLVED, that the Glastonbury Town Council hereby requests the Town Manager to work with the Executive Director of the Glastonbury Housing Authority to evaluate the potential for affordable rental use of the Town-owned property at 2157 Main Street, as described in a report by the Town Manager dated August 7, 2020.

Disc: Mr. Gullotta asked that this issue be put on the agenda. He explained that Mr. Johnson had a great idea to spread affordable housing throughout town so that it is not all in one place. They are opening discussions with the housing authority. Mr. Gullotta is excited at the prospect of avoiding concentrated affordable housing. Mr. Cavanaugh asked about the rental site space and the air conditioning. Mr. Johnson explained that the site is 8 rooms, with approximately 1,800 square feet in the first two floors and about 200 square feet on the upper level. The most suitable option was to install a ductless air conditioning system. Ms. Carroll loves this idea and the fact that they are looking at other properties in town. She noted that her mom lived in this very house with her friends years ago, and she was absolutely delighted at the prospect of this project. Mr. McChesney is also very supportive of this initiative. He remarked that a partnership with the housing authority is a great way to try to meet some of their affordable housing goals in town.

Dr. Beckett requested that Neil Griffin from the Glastonbury Housing Authority comment on scattered site housing. Mr. Griffin explained that acquiring and maintaining scattered sites can be difficult, but here, the Town already owns the site, so it is a good opportunity to explore between the Housing Authority and the town, in order to increase the supply of affordable housing in the community. Ms. Tanski is very excited about this project. She really appreciates that this is kept as a rental property. She shared that her single mother had lost their housing, so she personally knows how huge of an impact the management of the property and swings in maintenance of finances has on families. Mr. McChesney explained that he, too, shares that history of a family losing housing, having to move from place to place, and benefitting from section 8. He thanked Ms. Tanski for sharing her personal experiences. Mr. Cavanaugh thanked Mr. Griffin for the Housing Authority's efforts on the Salmon Brook Rehabilitation and Nursing Center project.

Result: Motion passed unanimously {9-0-0}.

b. Action to authorize printing and distribution of explanatory text for November 3, 2020 referendum – Land Acquisition and Preservation.

Motion by: Ms. Carroll Seconded by: Dr. Beckett

BE IT RESOLVED, that the Glastonbury Town Council, pursuant to Connecticut General Statutes Section 9-369(b) authorizes the Town Clerk to print for public distribution and to furnish with each absentee applicant the explanatory text dated August 11, 2020 concerning the proposal scheduled for public referendum on November 3, 2020, subject to approval of the Town Attorney.

Result: Motion passed unanimously {9-0-0}.

c. Discussion and possible action concerning amendment to Article 3 of the Code – Recreational Areas – Use Regulations and parking pass for Cotton Hollow Preserve.

Mr. Johnson explained that, several weeks ago, the Town closed Cotton Hollow because the preserve was overwhelmed by users. Since then, they have done a lot of work to open it back up soon. The first part concerns seasonal parking, with a daily parking fee option and a seasonal pass which they would pro-rate for the rest of the year. Secondly, they would like to review the use restrictions of the town ordinance for Glastonbury residents and their guests, in the context of 2020. Therefore, Mr. Johnson believes that this motion should be a two-parter: whether the Council feels that a parking pass makes sense and a review of the town's ordinance policy.

Motion by: Ms. Carroll Seconded by: Dr. Beckett

BE IT RESOLVED, that the Glastonbury Town Council hereby approves the following:

- 1. Refers proposed amendment(s) to Town Code Article 3 Recreational Areas Use Regulations to the Policy and Ordinance and Review Committee for a report and recommendation;
- 2. Schedules a public hearing for 8:00 p.m. on Tuesday, September 8, 2020 through Zoom Video Conferencing to consider proposed changes to Town Code Article 3 Recreational Areas Use Regulations;

as described in a report by the Town Manager dated August 7, 2020.

Result: Motion passed unanimously {9-0-0}.

Motion by: Ms. Carroll

BE IT RESOLVED, that the Glastonbury Town Council hereby approves establishing a parking pass system for the Cotton Hollow Preserve at \$5 per day.

Seconded by: Dr. Beckett

Disc: Mr. Johnson explained that they are only discussing fees for residents right now because the ordinance has to look at it for guest passes. \$5 a day makes sense for him, but \$50 for an annual pass seems steep, since the usage is very different at Cotton Hollow from Eastbury Pond. Mr. Gullotta suggested making the daily fee \$5 for the time being, just to get the site reopened quickly, and then they can reassess on September 8. Dr. Beckett liked that suggestion. Mr. Cavanaugh asked about the parking lot and if rangers will be in the preserve itself. Mr. Johnson explained that the parking lot will be the gravel parking lot, which holds 23 parking spaces. The rangers would check what people are bringing into the site, which they would like to limit.

Mr. McChesney is hesitant about passing a paywall on a public amenity that they have never had before for their residents, but he recognizes that it is not right for the town to absorb all of that cost. Thus, he supports a \$5 parking pass. Ms. Tanski asked about regulating the parking. Mr. Johnson stated that they will regulate the parking and do their best to limit it to those 23 parking spaces. Mr. Osgood asked, if they passed the parking pass, when would the site reopen. Mr. Johnson stated in about 7-10 days. Mr. Osgood does not think that the passes are required, other than from the busy, peak season of June 1 to September 15. Therefore, he suggests limiting the fee to that time frame. He would vote in favor of the \$5 pass and implement it as soon as possible.

Motion by: Mr. Osgood Seconded by: Mr. McChesney

BE IT RESOLVED, that the Glastonbury Town Council hereby implements a daily resident parking fee of \$5 from the period of June 1 to September 15.

Disc: Mr. McChesney explained that, right now, he is in favor of limiting the paywall to only as much as necessary. Mr. Cavanaugh thinks it should be a year-round fee because people leave that place a big mess. Mr. Johnson explained that if they implement the \$5 pass today, then they will know more information on how to proceed going forward on September 8. Ms. Tanski's concern is the uncertainty and unpredictability in regard to the use of the space. She would feel more comfortable passing the parking fee, especially since they will be revisiting it. She is not in favor of putting a time limit on it at this point.

Result: Mr. Osgood withdrew his amendment and Mr. McChesney withdrew his second.

Result: Motion passed unanimously {9-0-0}.

d. Status report and possible action concerning Tropical Storm Isaias.

Mr. Johnson explained that they had a challenge over the past week or so. At the height of the storm, about 76% were out of power in town. There will need to be improvements with communications, with Eversource, and a partnership between the town and Eversource. Mr. Cavanaugh noted that he drove around town and really the only people he saw helping out were town staff. He asked Mr. Johnson to please send a note out to everyone involved that the Council appreciates the work they are doing, not only as a result of the storm but also because of the pandemic. Ms. LaChance also drove around town that night and she, too, only saw town staff

helping, not Eversource. Mr. McChesney explained that the ire should be with Eversource. He also personally had a great deal of issue with Cox Communications. He lost internet the day after the storm and the company's customer service was horrible.

- 6. Consent Calendar. None
- 7. Town Manager's Report.

Mr. Johnson explained that for the last three weeks in a row, Glastonbury has yielded zero positive results for COVID-19. They have implemented the striped parking, which was a concern raised during a previous public comment session, and Minnechaug Golf Course already has well over 15,000 rounds this year.

- 8. Committee Reports.
 - a. Chairman's Report. None
 - b. MDC.

Ms. LaChance explained that their August meeting was cancelled, but MDC sent out an email noting that they are partnering with Yale University to do a wastewater study on COVID-19.

- c. CRCOG. None
- d. Livable Communities.

Ms. Carroll explained that core leadership met at the end of July. They are seeking a new director. Their three main focuses are housing, transportation, and outdoor space, which align well with the Town Council's goals.

- 9. Communications. *None*
- 10. Minutes.

Motion by: Ms. Carroll

a. Amended Minutes of July 28, 2020 Regular Meeting.

Result: The amended minutes were accepted as presented {9-0-0}.

1 1 (* *

- 11. Appointments and Resignations. *None*
- 12. Executive Session. None
 - a. Potential land acquisition.
 - b. Draft Terms and Conditions Sale of Town-owned land Western Boulevard.
- 13. Adjournment.

Seconded by: Dr. Beckett

Motion by: Ms. Carroll Seconded by: Dr. Beckett

BE IT RESOLVED, that the Glastonbury Town Council hereby adjourns their meeting of August 11, 2020 at 10:20 P.M.

Result: Motion passed unanimously {9-0-0}.

Respectfully submitted,

Lilly Torosyan

Lilly Torosyan Recording Clerk Thomas Gullotta Chairman